

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,661	05/14/2001	Masahiro Tanaka	208546US2 6508		
22850	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			DIAZ, JOSE R		
	IA, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(A)			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	172			
		09/853,661	i	TANAKA, MASAHIF	HIRO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
· <u> </u>		José R. Día		2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tirr expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ration to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status				•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	ugust 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,16-18,27-30 and 35-38 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,16-18,27-30 and 35-38 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from con	sideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	` '			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity documer u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati its have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/05,8/18/05.		Paper No(s)/Mail Da		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 16-18, 27-30, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuwabara (JP 06-069509).

Regarding claim 1, Kuwabara teaches an electrode contact section incorporated in a semiconductor device; comprising:

a first conductivity type (N-type) semiconductor substrate (1) [see fig. 1 and abstract];

a second conductivity type (P-type) impurity layer (11) formed in one surface of the semiconductor substrate [see fig. 1] and having a peak of an impurity concentration at a point of more than 0.2 µm and not more than 1.0 µm from the one surface of the semiconductor substrate [consider a thickness of less than 10 µm in paragraph 0015];

a second conductivity type (P+) contact layer (21) formed in the impurity layer [see fig. 1 and abstract] and having a peak of an impurity concentration at a point of not more than 0.2 μ m from the one surface of the semiconductor substrate [consider a thickness of less than 1 μ m, preferably between about 0.1-1.0 μ m in paragraph 0016], the contact layer being thinner than the impurity layer [see fig. 1 and the ranges of

Application/Control Number: 09/853,661 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

thickness stated above] for both and the peak of the impurity concentration of the contact layer being higher than that of the impurity layer [consider layer 11 having a concentration of between about 10^{16} - 10^{18} cm⁻³ and layer 21 having a concentration of between about 10^{18} - 10^{20} cm⁻³ in paragraph 0016]; ¹

a first electrode (19) formed on the contact layer [see fig. 1]; and

a second electrode (17) formed at another surface of the semiconductor substrate for allowing a current to flow between the first and second electrodes [see fig. 1].

Regarding claims 2 and 17, Kuwabara teaches that the impurity layer (11) is provided for carrier injection from the impurity layer to the semiconductor substrate [paragraph <u>0017</u>]; and the contact layer (21) is provided for reducing a contact resistant between the first electrode and the impurity layer and not for carrier injection [paragraph 0016, last sentence].

Regarding claim 4, Kuwabara teaches an IGBT device [see fig. 1 and paragraph 0014].

Regarding claims 5 and 18, Kuwabara teaches that the impurity layer (11) is formed in the entire one surface of the semiconductor substrate (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 16, Kuwabara, as stated in the rejection of claim 1 above, teaches the claimed device. In addition, Kuwabara teaches an IGBT device comprising:

¹ With regards to the limitation that a second conductivity type contact layer is formed in the impurity layer, it is noted the end product, in both the prior art and the claimed invention, ends up containing a layered structure comprising a substrate, an impurity layer, a thin contact layer and an electrode in contact with the contact layer. The fact that the contact layer is formed in the impurity layer does not change the end product. Thus, the limitation does not patentably distinguish the final structure from the one disclosed in the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,661

Art Unit: 2815

a second conductivity type base region (13) formed in one surface of the semiconductor substrate (1) [see fig. 1];

a first conductivity type impurity region (14) formed in the base region [see fig. 1]; a gate electrode (16) connected to the base region via an insulation film (15) (see fig. 1).

Regarding claims 27, 29, 35 and 37, Kuwabara teaches that the impurity layer (11) has a thickness of about 1 μ m or about 0.8 μ m [consider a thickness of less than 10 μ m in paragraph 0015].

Regarding claims 28, 30, 36 and 38, Kuwabara teaches a thin contact layer (21) having a thickness of about 0.2 µm and/or 0.16 µm [consider a thickness of less than 1 µm, preferably between about 0.1-1.0 µm in paragraph 0016].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-5, 16-18, 27-30, and 35-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on August 18, 2005 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R. Díaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,661

Art Unit: 2815

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

José R. Díaz Examiner

Art Unit 2815

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER